

## **Actions from Meeting 3 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2012**

### **Participants:**

Tim Lewis (Chair) (TL)  
Leland Snyder (LS) - US - NOAA  
Carlos Albuquerque (CA) - Brazil  
Rafael Ponce (RP) - ESRI  
Paul Cooper (PC) - CARIS  
Nigel Sutton (NS) – IHO MACHC Sec  
Mark Halliwell (MH) - UK  
Jane Jones (JJ) - Sec

### **3.1. Opening Remarks by Chair**

*Chair thanked all for their feedback but encouraged members to engage with the actions identified to ensure the success of the working group.*

### **3.2. Brief Introductions**

*Nil*

### **3.3. Apologies**

*Jonathan Justi – US NOAA*

### **3.4. Minutes from Previous Meeting and Review of Actions from Meeting 1 and 2**

The following outstanding actions from meeting 1 (January) were closed with the following comments in parentheses:

1.3.1 Participants to review Terms of Reference and Rules of Procedure.

1.3.2 Participants to review the web database produced by Suriname at the following link: [www.swris.org](http://www.swris.org) (UKHO noted that the compilation of datasets on the SWRIS website was a useful resource, but not a database as such and had limited usefulness for the project).

1.3.5 UK to put a model database together for presentation to the members at a margins meeting at the IHC Conference – 23-27 Apr 2012 (It was decided that this action was in fact too large to be completed by the IHC and work on this will be ongoing).

Action 1.3.5 - there was a good discussion around “phases of the group” and that 1.3.5 was in a much later phase looking at which cloud databases could be held. The initial phases included identifying readily accessible data source links, and holding these from one readily available place. Consequently 1.3.5 was replaced by action **3.4.1** *The MEIP WG to report progress at the forthcoming IHC and convene for a meeting to discuss future direction at the conference to which all MACHC member states are to be invited. – All – April 24 2012*

The following actions are continued:

1.3.7 Chair to make contact with funding agencies regarding the criteria to be evidenced by the spatial data infrastructure when submitting a bid for funding. (Chair reported that minor progress had been achieved and this will be developed) – Ongoing.

All the outstanding actions from meeting 2 (February) were closed. A useful discussion took place regarding the topic of *Drafting a vision for external stakeholders and developing a “mission” for the working group. (2.5.1)*. This was replaced by 3 new actions:

**3.4.2** *Chair to draft a paragraph for the vision statement. – 29<sup>th</sup> March 2012*

**3.4.3** *Participants to review vision statement and feedback to secretary – All – 17<sup>th</sup> April 2012.*

**3.4.4** *Participants to suggest mission objectives – All - 17<sup>th</sup> April 2012*

### **3.5. Review of Layers with Regards to Priority and Data Available**

A discussion took place about the MEIP output drawing data sources together and making them accessible from one place. It was suggested that the layers should be aligned with the vision. 2 actions resulted:

**3.5.1** *A continuing action throughout the span of the working group to review the layers – All - Ongoing*

**3.5.2** *Websites which aggregate geographic data to be feedback to secretary – All - Ongoing*

### **3.6. Review of ArcGIS Online Resources**

RP described ways in which the MEIP output could be hosted, including the ESRI cloud. To allow members to see the benefits and limitations of this, the meeting will reconvene for a separate online session to be led by RP on Thursday 29<sup>th</sup> March at 15:30 GMT.

**3.6.1** *Sec to make this meeting available to the wider group – Sec – 27<sup>th</sup> March 2012*

### **3.7. Review of Forthcoming Survey Activity**

Chair provided an update to potential surveying in region by UK asset HMS PROTECTOR during the summer. More details to follow when this is confirmed.

### **3.8. Any Other Business**

**3.8.1.** *Chair raised the issue of AIS layer and asked for comments/input regarding any source AIS data that's available. This discussion also included the value of historic AIS, which is deemed easier to obtain and still provides valuable intelligence on active and non-active shipping routes.*

### **3.9. Date of Next Meeting**

18<sup>th</sup> April 2012. 10:00 US EDT 15:00 UK GMT